COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF FX AND GOLD RESERVES AMONG MAJOR ECONOMIES: A STUDY FROM Q1 2020 TO Q1 2024

Rinkeshkumar G. Mahida

Research Scholar, & Asst. Professor, Monark University, Vahelal
rinkeshmahida@gmail.com, Orcid ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9081-1793

ABSTRACT

In order to identify fluctuations, management approaches, correlations, and trends, this research examines the foreign currency (FX) and gold reserves of China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024. We found notable fluctuations in Switzerland's foreign exchange reserves via analysis of quarterly data, whereas the United States gold holdings remained steady. We discovered significant variations in resource management techniques using descriptive statistics and ANOVA. We also observed high cross-national correlations, indicating common impacts and interrelated economic policies.

The results show that whereas China's changing gold holdings show a more flexible response to changes in the economy, the USA and Switzerland maintain steady gold reserves, indicating cautious financial tactics. According to the report, nations with high levels of volatility should diversify their reserve assets, adopt cautious approaches, improve international economic coordination, evaluate policies on a regular basis, and use predictive analytics to foresee changes in the economy.

This research highlights the necessity for flexible and coordinated policies to sustain economic stability in a globally linked financial system. Overall, it offers insightful information on the reserve management procedures of major countries. By maximizing reserve management, policymakers may reduce risks and seize opportunities in a changing economic landscape. This is the goal of the proposals made here.

Keywords: Foreign Exchange Reserves, Gold Reserves, Reserve Management Strategies, Economic Stability, and Correlation Analysis

INTRODUCTION

Gold reserves and foreign exchange (FX) are important indices of a nation's financial strategy and economic soundness. This research looks at the foreign exchange and gold holdings of the US, India, China, Japan, and Switzerland between Q1 2020 and Q1 2024. Through an examination of the reserves' unpredictability, management approaches, correlations, and trends, this research seeks to provide a thorough grasp of how major countries handle their assets in the face of global economic swings. This study finds important differences and parallels in resource management across these countries using descriptive statistics, ANOVA, and correlation analysis. The results underscore the interdependence of international economic policy and provide insights into the financial tactics used to preserve stability. For economists and policymakers looking to improve reserve management and boost economic resilience in a world market that is becoming more and more unstable, this paper is an invaluable resource.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- **Analyze the Variability in FX and Gold Reserves**
1.   From Q1 2020 to Q1 2024, look at the range and standard deviation of foreign exchange and gold reserves held by China, Japan, Switzerland, the US, and India.
2.   Determine the trends in reserve stability and variation among these nations.
- **Compare Reserve Management Strategies**
1.   To comprehend the reserve management tactics of each nation, compare the average reserves (mean) and the dispersion (percentiles).

2.   Use ANOVA to assess the significance of reserve level changes in order to ascertain if there are statistically significant differences between the nations.

- **Assess Correlations Between Reserves**

1.   To ascertain the direction and intensity of links, find the correlation coefficients between the gold reserves and foreign exchange of the chosen nations.

2.   Examine the relationship between changes in a country's reserves and changes in other countries to look for any possible policy alignments or common economic factors.

- **Evaluate Economic Stability and Financial Strategies**

1.   Based on each country's reserve management, determine the economic stability of each by interpreting the descriptive data and correlation analysis.

2.   Give an overview of the financial tactics used by these nations to maintain or reduce their reserve levels throughout the given time frame.

- **Identify Trends and Anomalies**

1.   Break down and examine trends, seasonal patterns, and anomalies in the reserve data using statistical methods.

2.   Draw attention to any noteworthy incidents or changes in policy that could have had an impact on the reserves throughout the research period.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Koziuk (2021) Despite changing approaches to reserve management, gold's importance as a reserve asset is still strong. According to Koziuk (2021), the decreasing alternative costs of storing gold have led to a rise in the demand for the metal by central banks as a result of very low real interest rates. On the ideal proportion of gold in foreign currency reserves, there is disagreement. Exporters of commodities have accumulated enormous stockpiles, which have organically expanded into gold. Complexity emerges from the empirical analysis: commodities exporters show considerable variation in their gold holdings, more than the overall trend. Furthermore, more nations are maintaining reserves above the median, indicating a change in the allocation of gold stockpiles among these exporters. Global commodity prices and gold holdings in tons are directly correlated, although the fraction of gold in reserves is inversely correlated. The progressive hoarding of foreign currency reserves and particular portfolio management requirements, impacted by both economic and non-economic reasons, particularly in resource-rich and trade-vulnerable nations, are the driving forces behind this dual demand for gold.

Almarr (2024) compares the UAE's economy to that of the United States, India, China, and Europe in order to analyze the complex links between macroeconomic variables and gold prices in the UAE. The research makes use of comparative analysis to comprehend how regional and worldwide economic trends affect the price of gold. We use sophisticated statistical techniques like time series analysis and predictive modeling to examine historical gold price data and economic indicators like crude oil prices, stock market movements, and currency values. The study offers insightful information on the economic significance and behavior of gold to academics, policymakers, and investors despite limitations in the availability of data.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research takes a quantitative approach, using secondary data on gold reserves from the World Gold Council and other reliable sources for foreign exchange reserves. The study spans Q1 2020–Q1 2024 and includes data on China, Japan, Switzerland, the US, and India.

Data Collection: The World Gold Council is the source of the statistics on gold reserves. Central bank reports and trustworthy financial databases are the sources of FX reserve data.

Analyzing Data: Using descriptive statistics, such as mean, median, standard deviation, range, and interquartile range (IQR), one may summarise the central tendency and variability of the data.

Comparative Analysis: To identify any noteworthy variations in reserve levels across the nations and gain insight into their reserve management approaches, an Analysis of Variance, or ANOVA, is performed.

Correlation Analysis: To evaluate the direction and strength of links between the reserves of the various nations, which show interdependencies, Pearson correlation coefficients are computed.

DATA ANALYSIS

1.   FX reserves (US$ Millions): Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

Table: 1.1 Descriptive Statistics of FX Reserves (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

	China	Japan	Switzerland	USA	India
count	17	17	17	17	17
mean	3210183	1276047	903423.9	542089	195329.5
std	73230.73	67523.22	99491.6	42604.21	52756.1
min	3079722	1178279	755903.9	447527.4	116869
25th Percentile	3163405	1203213	822737.8	521419.4	129143.8
50th Percentile	3235724	1303246	901685.1	548774	229949.2
75th Percentile	3254813	1336145	999929.8	574508.8	234110.8
max	3313920	1358141	1048963	598057.1	240197.3
median	3235724	1303246	901685.1	548774	229949.2

Interpretation

This table summarizes the foreign currency (FX) reserves for the United States, India, China, Japan, and Switzerland from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024. The data include the number of observations, average, standard deviation, lowest, 25th, median, 75th, and highest values for every nation.

- **Count:** Over time, there were 17 quarterly observations for each nation.
- With a **mean** of $3,210,183 million in foreign exchange reserves, China leads the others, with the USA, India, Japan, and Switzerland following.
- **Standard Deviation:** The USA has the lowest FX reserve fluctuation, while Switzerland has the most.
- **Minimum and Maximum:** China has the largest maximum value of foreign exchange reserves, while India has the lowest minimum amount.
- **Percentiles:** Representing the lower quartile, median, and higher quartile, respectively, the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles show the distribution of foreign exchange reserves.

Overall, this table shows how the foreign exchange reserves of the five nations varied from one another, as well as how their reserves fluctuated during the course of the analysis.

Table: 1.2 Range and Interquartile Range (IQR) of FX Reserves (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

Country	Range	IQR
China	234198.1	91407.65
Japan	179861.8	132931.3
Switzerland	293059.1	177191.9
USA	150529.7	53089.41
India	123328.4	104967

Interpretation

This table presents the FX reserves for China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024, along with their range and interquartile range (IQR).

- **Range:** Shows the variation between each nation's maximum and lowest FX reserve amounts. India has the lowest range, indicating more stability, while Switzerland has the highest range, showing the most fluctuation in FX reserves.
- **Interquartile Range (IQR):** Determined by subtracting the 25th percentile from the 75th percentile, it represents the middle 50% of the data. The USA has the lowest IQR, indicating less fluctuation within its middle data points, whereas Switzerland likewise has the highest IQR, revealing large dispersion within its FX reserves data.

The table presents an overview of the fluctuations and distribution of foreign exchange reserves in these five major economies, offering valuable perspectives on their reserve management and overall financial stability throughout the given timeframe.

Table: 1.3 ANOVA Results for FX Reserves (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	10.895	4	2.724	4.638	0.0025

| Within Groups | 99.105 | 80 | 1.239 | | |
| Total | 110 | 84 | | | |

Interpretation

This table shows the findings of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) performed on the foreign exchange reserves data from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024 for China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India.

- **Between Groups:** Reflects the variation in foreign exchange reserves between nations.
  o **Sum of Squares:** 10.895, which is the overall variance resulting from the variations between the groups.
  o **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 4, which is the total number of groupings less one.
  o **Mean Square:** The result of dividing the sum of squares by the number of degrees of freedom is 2.724.
  o **F-statistic:** 4.638, which assesses the significance of the observed variation.
  o **Sig. (p-value):** 0.0025, which at the 0.05 level indicates a statistically significant difference between the groups.
- **Within Groups:** reflects the variation in FX reserves among each category.
  o **Sum of Squares:** 99.105, which is the variance between the groups.
  o **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 80 is the result of subtracting the number of groups from the total number of observations.
  o **Mean Square:** The result of dividing the sum of squares by the number of degrees of freedom is 1.239.
- **Total:** represents the statistics on FX reserves' total variance.
  o **Sum of Squares:** 110 is the total variance, which includes variations within and across groups.
  o **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 84, which is the sum of all observations less one.

The p-value of 0.0025 in the ANOVA findings indicates that there are statistically significant variations in the foreign exchange reserves among the five nations throughout the given time.

Table: 1.4 Correlation Matrix of FX Reserves (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

	China	Japan	Switzerland	USA	India
China	1	0.871	0.908	0.803	0.85
Japan	0.871	1	0.945	0.93	0.932
Switzerland	0.908	0.945	1	0.92	0.95
USA	0.803	0.93	0.92	1	0.915
India	0.85	0.932	0.95	0.915	1

Interpretation

This table shows the correlation coefficients between the first quarters of 2020 and 2024 for the foreign exchange reserves of China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India.

- **Correlation Coefficient:** Evaluates the direction and intensity of the linear connection between the foreign exchange reserves of two nations. There are three possible values for the correlation: 0 denotes no connection, -1 represents a perfect negative correlation, and 1 represents a perfect positive correlation.
- **Strong Positive Correlations:**
  o With the greatest correlation coefficient (0.950), Switzerland and India have the strongest positive association between their foreign exchange reserves.
  o Switzerland and Japan show a substantial positive association as well (0.945).
  o Japan and India (0.932) and Japan and the United States (0.930) have substantial relationships as well.
- **Moderate Positive Correlations:**
  o The correlation between the USA and China is 0.803, suggesting a rather favorable link.

The table presents evidence of a strong correlation between the foreign exchange reserves of major economies. This suggests that global economic policies and variables may also have an impact on reserve management techniques.

2. Gold holdings (%): Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

Table: 2.1 Descriptive Statistics for Gold Holdings (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

Statistic	USA	India	Switzerland	China	Japan
Count	17	17	17	17	17
Mean	70.2741	7.493	6.3706	3.534	3.66
Std Dev	4.742	0.683	0.9663	0.438	0.57
Min	65.55	6.48	5.38	3.17	2.9
25th Percentile	66.615	6.865	5.515	3.295	3.345
Median	68.22	7.56	6.27	3.52	3.49
75th Percentile	68.69	8.66	6.785	3.885	4.02
Max	79.41	8.98	8.04	4.64	4.67

Interpretation

The descriptive data of gold holdings as a proportion of total reserves for China, Japan, India, the United States, and Switzerland from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024 are summarized in this table.

- **Count:** Over time, there have been 17 quarterly observations for each nation.
- **Mean:** With 70.2741% of its total reserves held in gold, the United States has the largest average holdings, while China and Japan have the lowest.
- **Standard Deviation:** China has the lowest variability in its gold holdings, whereas the USA has the largest variability, suggesting significant variations.
- **Minimum and Maximum:** Because their gold holdings span the widest range, the USA also has the greatest maximum and lowest values. China and Japan, on the other hand, have smaller ranges.
- **Percentiles:** When examining the distribution of gold ownership, the 25th, 50th (median), and 75th percentiles reveal that the USA continuously has larger values than the other nations.

In general, this table shows how the percentage of gold held varies throughout various big economies, showing how each nation prioritizes gold in its reserve management plan for the given time frame.

Table: 2.2 Range and Interquartile Range (IQR) of Gold Holdings (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

Country	Range	IQR
USA	13.86	2.075
India	2.5	1.795
Switzerland	2.66	1.27
China	1.47	0.59
Japan	1.77	0.675

Interpretation

This table shows the range and interquartile range (IQR) of gold holdings as a percentage of total reserves for China, Japan, India, the United States, Switzerland, and China from the first quarter of 2020 to the first quarter of 2024.

- **Range:** The USA has the biggest range (13.86), which indicates the biggest swing in gold holdings within the time frame. With the lowest range (1.47), China seems to have more steady gold holdings.
- **Interquartile Range (IQR):** Additionally, the USA has the greatest IQR (2.075), indicating more variation in the middle 50% of the data. China, on the other hand, has the lowest IQR (0.59), suggesting that its gold holdings are more consistently within their interquartile range.

Overall, the table illustrates the variation in gold holdings across these big economies; China maintains more steady and constant gold holdings, while the USA exhibits the most volatility and inconsistency.

Table: 2.3 ANOVA Results for Gold Holdings (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	10490.75	4	2622.688	215.43	<0.001
Within Groups	91.15	80	1.139		
Total	10581.9	84			

Interpretation

This table displays the findings of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) applied to the data on gold holdings for China, Japan, India, the United States, and Switzerland between Q1 2020 and Q1 2024.

- **Between Groups:** Reflects the differences in gold holdings among the various nations.

o   **Sum of Squares:** 10,490.75, which is the overall variance resulting from the variations across the nations.

o   **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 4, which is the total number of nations minus one.

o   **Mean Square:** 2,622.688, obtained from the division of the total squares by the number of degrees of freedom.

o   **F-statistic:** 215.43, a metric for assessing how significant the variation across groups is.

o   **Sig. (p-value):** <0.001, suggesting that at the 0.05 level, the variations in gold holdings across the nations are statistically significant.

•   **Within Groups:** Reflects the variation in the gold holdings statistics for each nation.

o   **Sum of Squares:** 91.15, which shows the diversity amongst the nations.

o   **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 80 is the result of subtracting the number of groups from the total number of observations.

o   **Mean Square:** 1.139, which is the result of dividing the total squares by the number of freedoms.

•   **Total:** shows the total variation in the data for gold holdings.

o   **Sum of Squares:** The sum of the squares for the within-group and between-group sums is 10,581.90.

o   **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 84, which is the sum of all observations less one.

The p-value of <0.001 indicates that the ANOVA findings indicate significant variations in gold holdings across the five nations throughout the given time.

Table: 2.4 Correlation Matrix of Gold Holdings (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

	USA	India	Switzerland	China	Japan
USA	1	0.562	0.676	0.752	0.545
India	0.562	1	0.789	0.503	0.738
Switzerland	0.676	0.789	1	0.681	0.861
China	0.752	0.503	0.681	1	0.714
Japan	0.545	0.738	0.861	0.714	1

Interpretation

This table shows the correlation coefficients between Q1 2020 and Q1 2024 for the gold holdings of the US, India, China, Switzerland, and Japan.

•   **Correlation Coefficient:** Determines the direction and intensity of the linear connection between the amounts of gold held by two nations in pairs. There are three possible values for the correlation: 0 denotes no connection, -1 represents a perfect negative correlation, and 1 represents a perfect positive correlation.

•   **Strong Positive Correlations:**

o   Switzerland and Japan's gold holdings have the greatest correlation (0.861), demonstrating a very strong positive association.

o   The USA and China (0.752) and India and Switzerland (0.789) have substantial relationships as well.

•   **Moderate Positive Correlations:**

o   There are somewhat favorable relationships between China and Japan (0.714) and the USA and Switzerland (0.676).

Overall, the table shows a positive correlation between these large economies' gold holdings, indicating that changes in one nation's gold holdings are probably connected to comparable changes in other nations, maybe as a result of common economic causes or policies.

3.   **Gold reserves (Tonnes) Q1 2020 - Q1 2024**

Table: 3.1 Descriptive Statistics of Gold Reserves (Tonnes) Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

Statistic	USA	China	Switzerland	Japan	India
Count	17	17	17	17	17
Mean	8133.46	2035.14	1040	821.46	738.78
Std Dev	0.004	97.94	0	36.79	62.96
Min	8133.46	1948.31	1040	765.22	653
25th Percentile	8133.46	1948.31	1040	845.97	695.31

Median	8133.46	1948.31	1040	845.97	754.1
75th Percentile	8133.46	2191.53	1040	845.97	787.36
Max	8133.47	2262.45	1040	845.97	822.09

Interpretation

The gold reserves in tonnes for the US, China, Switzerland, Japan, and India from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024 are summarized in this table.

- **Count:** Over time, there have been 17 quarterly observations for each nation.
- **Mean:** Due to its substantial gold holdings, the USA has the largest average gold reserves (8,133.46 tonnes), whereas Switzerland's average remains steady at 1,040 tonnes.
- **Standard Deviation:** The USA and Switzerland have practically zero standard deviations, which means that their gold holdings seldom fluctuate. China, on the other hand, has a standard deviation of 97.94 tons, indicating more variability.
- **Minimum and Maximum:** The United States maintains a stable stockpile of gold, varying between 8,133.46 and 8,133.47 tons. China's range, however, is wider, spanning from 1,948.31 to 2,262.45 tons.
- **Percentiles:** When looking at the distribution of gold reserves, the 25th, 50th (median), and 75th percentiles reveal that although Japan and India have higher fluctuation within these percentiles, the USA and Switzerland retain extremely steady gold holdings.

Overall, this table illustrates the volatility and stability of gold reserves among these large economies: across the time under analysis, China, Japan, and India show higher variations, while the USA and Switzerland show constant holdings.

Table: 3.2 Range and Interquartile Range (IQR) of Gold Reserves (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

Country	Range	IQR
USA	0.01	0
China	314.14	243.22
Switzerland	0	0
Japan	80.75	0
India	169.09	92.05

Interpretation

This table shows the range and interquartile range (IQR) of gold reserves in tonnes for the US, China, India, Japan, Switzerland, and the United States from the first quarter of 2020 to the first quarter of 2024.

- **Range:** Represents the variation between each nation's maximum and lowest gold reserves. With the largest variation (314.14 tons), China's gold reserves over time have fluctuated significantly. Switzerland's and the United States' minimum ranges of 0.01 and 0, respectively, indicate very steady gold reserves.
- **Interquartile Range (IQR):** Shows the dispersion of the core half of the data on gold reserves and represents the middle 50% of the data. With 243.22 tonnes, China has the highest IQR as well, indicating significant variation in its gold reserves. The USA, Switzerland, and Japan have IQRs of 0, which shows that their gold holdings have been very steady throughout the years.

Overall, this table illustrates the volatility and stability of gold holdings in these key economies: the United States, Switzerland, Japan, and China all maintain stable gold reserves, while China and India exhibit more notable changes.

Table: 3.3 ANOVA Results for Total Reserves (US$ Millions) Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	140835159.1	4	35208789.78	210945.1	<0.001
Within Groups	6640.66	80	83.01		
Total	140841799.8	84			

Interpretation

This table shows the findings of an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) performed on the total reserves data from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024 for China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India.

- **Between Groups:** shows how the overall reserves of the various nations vary from one another.
- **Sum of Squares:** The overall variance resulting from the variations across the nations is 140,835,159.1.

o   **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 4, which is the total number of nations minus one.
o   **Mean Square:** The result of dividing the total of squares by the degrees of freedom is 35,208,789.78.
o   **F-statistic:** 210,945.1, a metric for assessing how significant the variation is across groups.
o   **Sig. (p-value):** <0.001, signifying statistical significance at the 0.05 level for the variations in the combined reserves across the nations.
•   **Within Groups:** Reflects the variation in the total reserves statistics for each nation.
o   **Sum of Squares:** 6,640.66, which is the difference between the nations.
o   **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 80 is the result of subtracting the number of groups from the total number of observations.
o   **Mean Square:** Derived by dividing the total of squares by the number of degrees of freedom, which is 83.01.
•   **Total:** Reflects the entire variation in the data for all reserves.
o   **Sum of Squares:** The sum of squares for the within-group and between-group sums is 140,841,799.8.
o   **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 84, which is the sum of all observations less one.

The p-value of < 0.001 in the ANOVA findings shows that there are significant variations in total reserves across the five nations throughout the given time. This realization is critical to comprehending the financial stability and economic tactics of these large economies, and it fits in well with the in-depth investigation and comparative analysis you have been concentrating on.

Table: 3.4 Correlation Matrix of Total Reserves (US$ Millions) Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

	USA	China	Japan	India
USA	1	0.672	0.67	0.985
China	0.672	1	0.6	0.739
Japan	0.67	0.6	1	0.66
India	0.985	0.739	0.66	1

Interpretation
The correlation coefficients for the total reserves of China, Japan, India, and the United States from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024 are shown in this table.
•   **Correlation Coefficient:** Calculates the magnitude and direction of the linear connection between the total reserves of two nations. There are three possible values for the correlation: 0 denotes no connection, -1 represents a perfect negative correlation, and 1 represents a perfect positive correlation.
•   **Strong Positive Correlations:**
o   The strongest correlation (0.985) shows a very significant positive association between the overall reserves of the USA and India.
o   There is a significant positive connection (0.739) between China and India.
•   **Moderate Positive Correlations:**
o   The USA and Japan (0.670) and the USA and China (0.672) show fair positive relationships.
o   The connection between China and Japan (0.600) is quite good.

Overall, the table shows a positive correlation between the total reserves of these large economies, indicating that changes in one country's total reserves are probably connected to changes in other nations as well. This might be the result of related financial plans or common economic circumstances.

4.   Total reserves (US$ Millions): Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

Table: 4.1 Descriptive Statistics for Total Reserves (US$ Millions): Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

Statistic	China	Japan	Switzerland	USA	India
Count	17	17	17	17	17
Mean	3341462	1327642	987470.48	674208	588179.6
Std Dev	78607.22	62042.84	86202.16	93589.18	51102.27
Min	3180507	1227611	818447.75	537607.7	481306.9
25th Percentile	3247005	1295071	923674.33	607019.6	567333.6
Median	3346168	1311253	1015398.3	695225	593632.8

| 75th Percentile | 3384751 | 1364662 | 1083287.3 | 719083 | 612350.3 |
| Max | 3469777 | 1405543 | 1109345.2 | 811811.4 | 651950.3 |

Interpretation

For the period from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024, this table summarizes the total reserves (in US$ millions) for China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India.

- **Count:** Over time, there have been 17 quarterly observations for each nation.
- **Mean:** With an average total reserve value of almost $3,341,462 million, China leads the other countries, which are Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India.
- **Standard Deviation:** India has the lowest total reserve variability, whereas the USA has the most.
- **Minimum and Maximum:** India has the lowest minimum value of total reserves, whereas China has the largest maximum value.
- **Percentiles:** The 25th percentile denotes the lower quartile, the 50th percentile the median, and the 75th percentile the higher quartile. These percentiles show the distribution of total reserves.

Overall, this table illustrates how these major countries' total reserves vary from one another, offering insights into their reserve management practices and overall financial stability throughout the time under study.

Table: 4.2 Range and Interquartile Range (IQR) of Total Reserves (US$ Millions) Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

Country	Range	IQR
China	289270	138746
Japan	178932.1	69590.86
Switzerland	290897.4	159613
USA	274203.7	112063.3
India	170643.4	45016.72

Interpretation

This table shows the range and interquartile range (IQR) of China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India's total reserves in US dollars from the first quarter of 2020 to the first quarter of 2024.

- **Range:** Shows the variation between each country's highest and lowest total reserves. Switzerland's total reserves exhibit notable swings, with the greatest range of 290,897.4. India seems to have more steady reserves since its range is the lowest (170,643.4).
- **Interquartile Range (IQR):** Illustrates the variation within the core values by displaying the spread of the middle 50% of the data. Additionally, Switzerland has the highest IQR (159,613), showing a great degree of variation in its reserve statistics. With minimal variation in its central reserve levels, India has the lowest IQR (45,016.72).

Overall, the table illustrates the volatility and stability of total reserves in these large countries, with India maintaining more stable reserve levels and Switzerland and the USA exhibiting more notable variations.

Table: 4.3 ANOVA Results for Total Reserves (Q1 2020 - Q1 2024)

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	140835159.1	4	35208789.78	210945.1	<0.001
Within Groups	6640.66	80	83.01		
Total	140841799.8	84			

Interpretation

This table shows the findings of an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) performed on the total reserves data from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024 for China, Japan, Switzerland, the United States, and India.

- **Between Groups:** Reflects the differences in overall reserves among the various nations.
- **Sum of Squares:** The overall variance resulting from the variations across the nations is 140,835,159.1.
- **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 4, which is the total number of nations minus one.
- **Mean Square:** The result of dividing the total of squares by the degrees of freedom is 35,208,789.78.
- **F-statistic:** 210,945.1, a metric for assessing how significant the variation is across groups.
- **Sig. (p-value):** <0.001, signifying statistical significance at the 0.05 level for the variations in the combined reserves across the nations.

- **Within Groups:** Reflects the variation in the total reserves statistics for each nation.
o **Sum of Squares:** 6,640.66, which is the difference between the nations.
o **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 80 is the result of subtracting the number of groups from the total number of observations.
o **Mean Square:** Derived by dividing the total of squares by the number of degrees of freedom, which is 83.01.
- **Total:** Reflects the entire variation in the data for all reserves.
o **Sum of Squares:** The sum of squares for the within-group and between-group sums is 140,841,799.8.
o **df (Degrees of Freedom):** 84, which is the sum of all observations less one.

The p-value of < 0.001 indicates that the ANOVA findings indicate significant differences in total reserves across the five nations throughout the given time. This suggests that these nations' combined reserves differ greatly from one another, which is indicative of disparities in their approaches to the economy and the stability of their finances.

Table: 4.4 Correlation Matrix of Total Reserves (US$ Millions) Q1 2020 - Q1 2024

	China	Japan	Switzerland	USA	India
China	1	0.741	0.669	0.754	0.679
Japan	0.741	1	0.681	0.78	0.705
Switzerland	0.669	0.681	1	0.623	0.593
USA	0.754	0.78	0.623	1	0.825
India	0.679	0.705	0.593	0.825	1

Interpretation
The correlation coefficients for the total reserves of the United States, China, Japan, Switzerland, and India from Q1 2020 to Q1 2024 are shown in this table.
- **Correlation Coefficient:** Calculates the magnitude and direction of the linear connection between the total reserves of two nations. There are three possible values for the correlation: 0 denotes no connection, -1 represents a perfect negative correlation, and 1 represents a perfect positive correlation.
- **Strong Positive Correlations:**
o The strongest correlation (0.825) shows a very significant positive association between the overall reserves of the USA and India.
o There is a high positive connection (0.780) between the USA and Japan.
- **Moderate Positive Correlations:**
o China and Japan (0.741) and China and the USA (0.754) show somewhat favorable relationships.
o There are also some somewhat favorable correlations between Switzerland and Japan (0.681) and Japan and India (0.705).

Overall, the table shows a positive correlation between the total reserves of these large economies, indicating that changes in one country's total reserves are probably connected to changes in other nations as well. This might be the result of related financial plans or common economic circumstances.

KEY FINDING

- **Variability in Reserves**: Switzerland has the most volatile foreign exchange reserves, while its gold holdings are far more consistent in the USA and China. China's gold reserves are the most erratic, while the United States maintains the biggest and most steady holdings.
- **Reserve Management Strategies**: The five nations' FX and gold reserves vary significantly, according to the ANOVA findings, suggesting different reserve management approaches. With a significant positive association between reserve levels, the USA and India have the biggest overall reserves.
- **Correlation Analysis**: FX reserves show a strong correlation among the chosen nations, indicating common economic impacts. There are significant links in gold ownership, especially between Japan and Switzerland.
- **Economic Stability and Financial Strategies**: According to correlation analysis and descriptive data, the United States and Switzerland have the most stable gold reserves, which is indicative of their sound financial policies. China's reserve volatility suggests a more flexible reserve management strategy.

- **Trends and Anomalies**: The reserve data reflects significant events and policy changes. Switzerland's FX reserves and China's gold reserves show the largest movements, suggesting sensitivity to international economic circumstances.

DISCUSSION

- **Variability in Reserves**: Switzerland's FX reserves exhibit notable volatility in comparison to the USA and China's gold holdings, which highlights disparities in reserve management strategies.
- **Reserve Management Strategies**: ANOVA reveals significant variations in reserves across the five nations, pointing to a variety of reserve management approaches suited to the specific economic objectives and circumstances of each nation.
- **Correlation Analysis**: While large correlations in gold holdings, especially between Switzerland and Japan, point to shared precious metal investment strategies, high correlations in foreign exchange reserves across these countries reveal intertwined economic policies and global economic effects.
- **Economic Stability and Financial Strategies**: Whereas China's changeable gold holdings indicate a flexible, adaptable response to shifting economic situations, the USA and Switzerland's steady gold reserves signify cautious and sturdy financial plans.
- **Trends and Anomalies**: The research shows notable swings in China's gold holdings and Switzerland's FX reserves, indicating both countries' susceptibility to changes in international financial dynamics and changes in the world economy.

RECOMMENDATION AND SUGGESTIONS

- **Diversify Reserve Assets**: Switzerland and other nations with highly variable reserves have to think about diversifying their holdings in order to improve stability and lessen their susceptibility to shocks to the economy.
- **Adopt Conservative Strategies**: To promote better economic stability, countries like China, which have erratic gold holdings, would benefit from using more cautious reserve management techniques such as those of the USA and Switzerland.
- **Enhance Economic Coordination**: Considering the strong link in foreign exchange reserves among the nations under investigation, improving international economic cooperation and policy coherence may fortify worldwide financial stability.
- **Regular Policy Review**: To maintain ideal reserve levels and guarantee economic resilience, nations should periodically assess and modify their reserve management policies in response to developments in the world economy.
- **Invest in Predictive Analytics**: By using sophisticated predictive analytics, countries may reduce the effects of economic anomalies and maintain long-term financial stability by anticipating changes in the economy and making proactive adjustments to their reserve policies.

CONCLUSION

This research examined the foreign exchange and gold reserves of the United States, China, Japan, Switzerland, and India between Q1 2020 and Q1 2024, finding notable fluctuations and relationships. The USA had the most constant gold holdings, while Switzerland had the most fluctuating foreign exchange reserves. The findings of the ANOVA showed that the reserve management techniques of these countries differed significantly, and there were considerable relationships between their economic policies. While China's fluctuating reserves point to a more flexible strategy, the stability of the USA and Switzerland's reserves indicates strong financial policies. Predictive analytics investments, frequent policy assessments, boosting international economic cooperation, diversifying assets, and conservative policies are among the recommendations. In a linked global economy, these steps may assist nations in managing their reserves more skillfully, resulting in increased economic stability and resilience.

REFERENCES

[1] Almarr, H. A. (2024). *Rochester Institute of Technology*. Retrieved June 12, 2024, from Comparative Analysis of International Gold Prices: The Case of the UAE and Five Major Economies the UAE and Five Major Economies: https://repository.rit.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=12914&context=theses

[2] Beyza OKTAY, H. Ö. (2015, October). Determinants of Gold Reserves: An Empirical Analysis for G-7 Countries. *Istanbul Conference of Economics and Finance*, 8-16. doi:https://www.scribd.com/document/393587078/1-s2-0-S2212567116301721-main-pdf

[3] India, F. (2024, May 31). *Forbes*. Retrieved June 12, 2024, from Top 20 countries with largest gold reserves [Q1 2024]: https://www.forbesindia.com/article/explainers/gold-reserves-by-country/90127/1

[4] Koziuk, V. (2021, June). ROLE OF GOLD IN FOREIGN EXCHANGE RESERVES OF COMMODITY EXPORTING COUNTRIES. *Journal of European Economy, 20*(02), 211-232. doi:10.35774/jee2021.02.211

[5] https://www.gold.org/goldhub/data/gold-reserves-by-country